

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C22C 38/10, C21D 9/42	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/10112 (43) International Publication Date: 12 March 1998 (12.03.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/15448 (22) International Filing Date: 3 September 1997 (03.09.97) (30) Priority Data: 08/706,745 9 September 1996 (09.09.96) US (71) Applicant: CRS HOLDINGS, INC. {US/US}; 209F Baynard Building, 3411 Silverside Road, Wilmington, DE 19810 (US). (72) Inventors: HEMPHILL, Raymond, M.; 813 Evergreen Drive, Wyomissing, PA 19610 (US). WERT, David, E.; 84 Wyomissing Hills Boulevard, West Lawn, PA 19609 (US). NOVOTNY, Paul, M.; 309 Main Street, Mohnton, PA 19540 (US). SCHMIDT, Michael, L.; 1748 Westwood Road, Wyomissing, PA 19610 (US). (74) Agents: BRUSTEIN, Mitchell, R. et al.; Dann, Dorfman, Herrell and Skillman, P.C., Suite 720, 1601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2307 (US).		(81) Designated States: BR, CA, JP, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: AGE HARDENABLE ALLOY WITH A UNIQUE COMBINATION OF VERY HIGH STRENGTH AND GOOD TOUGHNESS (57) Abstract An age hardenable martensitic steel alloy having a unique combination of very high strength and good toughness consists essentially of, in weight percent, about C: 0.21-0.34; Mn: 0.20 max.; Si: 0.10 max.; P: 0.008 max.; S: 0.003 max.; Cr: 1.5-2.80; Mo: 0.90-1.80; Ni: 10-13; Co: 14.0-22.0; Al: 0.1 max.; Ti: 0.05 max.; Ce: 0.030 max.; La: 0.010 max.; the balance essentially iron. In addition, cerium and sulfur are balanced so that the ratio Ce/S is at least about 2 and not more than about 15. A small but effective amount of calcium can be present in place of some or all of the cerium and lanthanum.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

**AGE HARDENABLE ALLOY WITH A UNIQUE COMBINATION
OF VERY HIGH STRENGTH AND GOOD TOUGHNESS**

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to an age hardenable martensitic steel alloy, and in particular, to such an alloy which provides a unique combination of very high strength with an acceptable level of fracture toughness.

Background of the Invention

A variety of applications require the use of an alloy having a combination of high strength and high toughness. For example, ballistic tolerant applications require an alloy which maintains a balance of strength and toughness such that spalling and shattering are suppressed when the alloy is impacted by a projectile, such as a .50 caliber armor piercing bullet. Other possible uses for such alloys include structural components for aircraft, such as landing gear or main shafts of jet engines, and tooling components.

Heretofore, a ballistic tolerant alloy steel has been described having the following composition in weight percent:

	C	0.38-0.43
25	Mn	0.60-0.80
	Si	0.20-0.35
	Cr	0.70-0.90
	Mo	0.20-0.30
	Ni	1.65-2.00
30	Fe	Balance

The alloy is treated by oil quenching from 843°C(1550°F) followed by tempering. Tempering to a hardness of HRC 57 provides the best ballistic

performance as measured by the V_{50} velocity. The V_{50} velocity is the velocity of a projectile at which there is a 50% probability that the projectile will penetrate the armor. However, when tempered to a hardness of HRC 57, the alloy is prone to cracking, shattering, and petal formation and the multiple hit performance of the alloy is severely degraded. To obtain the best combination of V_{50} performance and freedom from cracking, shattering, and petal formation, the alloy is tempered to a hardness of HRC 53. However, in order to provide effective anti-projectile performance at the lower hardness, thicker sections of the alloy must be used. The use of thicker sections is not practical for many applications, such as aircraft, because of the increased weight in the manufactured component.

Another alloy, with better resistance to shattering, cracking, and petal formation, has also been described. The alloy has the following composition in weight percent:

	C	0.12-0.17
	Cr	1.8-3.2
	Mo	0.9-1.35
25	X Ni	<u>9.5-10.5</u>
	Co	11.5-14.5
	Fe	Balance

Although that alloy is resistant to cracking and shattering when penetrated by a high velocity projectile because of its good impact toughness, the alloy leaves much to be desired as an armor material since it has a peak aged hardness of HRC 52. Therefore, in order to provide effective anti-projectile performance, undesirably thick sections of the alloy must be used. As described above, the use of thick sections is impractical for aircraft.

- 3 -

In addition, an alloy has been described having the following composition, in weight percent:

5		C	0.40-0.46
		Mn	0.65-0.90
		Si	1.45-1.80
		Cr	0.70-0.95
		Mo	0.30-0.45
		Ni	1.65-2.00
10		V	0.05 min.
		Fe	Balance

The alloy is capable of providing a tensile strength in the range of 1931-2068 MPa (280-300 ksi) and a fracture toughness, as represented by a stress intensity factor, K_{Ic} , of about 60.4-65.9 MPa \sqrt{m} (55-60 ksi $\sqrt{in.}$).

High strength, high fracture toughness, age hardenable martensitic alloys have been described having the following compositions in weight percent:

		<u>Alloy I</u>	<u>Alloy II</u>
	C	0.2-0.33	0.2-0.33
	Mn	0.2 max.	0.20 max.
25	Si	0.1 max.	0.1 max.
	P	0.008 max.	0.008 max.
	S	0.004 max.	0.0040 max.
	Cr	2-4	2-4
	Mo	0.75-1.75	0.75-1.75
30	X Ni	X 10.5-15	X 10.5-15
	Co	8-17	8-17
	Al	0.01 max.	0.01 max.
	Ti	0.01 max.	0.02 max.
	Ce	Trace-0.001	Small but effective amount up to 0.030
35	La	Trace-0.001	Small but effective amount up to 0.01
	Fe	Balance	Balance

Those alloys are capable of providing a fracture toughness as represented by a stress intensity factor, K_{Ic} , of ≥ 109.9 MPa \sqrt{m} (≥ 100 ksi $\sqrt{in.}$) and a strength as represented by an ultimate tensile strength, UTS, of about 1931-2068 MPa (280-300 ksi).

However, a need has arisen for an alloy having an even higher strength than the known alloys to provide improved ballistic performance and stronger structural components. It is known that fracture toughness is
5 inversely related to yield strength and ultimate tensile strength. Therefore, the alloy should also provide a sufficient level of fracture toughness for adequate reliability in components and to permit non-destructive inspection of structural components for
10 flaws which can result in catastrophic failure.

Summary of the Invention

The alloy according to the present invention is an age hardenable martensitic steel that provides
15 significantly higher strength while maintaining an acceptable level of fracture toughness relative to the known alloys. In particular, the alloy of the present invention is capable of providing an ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of at least about 2068 MPa (300 ksi)
20 and a K_{Ic} fracture toughness of at least about $71.4 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ ($65 \text{ ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$) in the longitudinal direction. The alloy of the present invention is also capable of providing a UTS of at least about 2137 MPa (310 ksi) and a K_{Ic} fracture toughness of at least about
25 $65.9 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ ($60 \text{ ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$) in the longitudinal direction.

The broad and preferred compositional ranges of the age-hardenable, martensitic steel of the present invention are as follows, in weight percent:

		<u>Broad</u>	<u>Preferred</u>
	C	0.21-0.34	0.22-0.30
	Mn	0.20 max.	0.05 max.
	Si	0.10 max.	0.10 max.
5	P	0.008 max.	0.006 max.
	S	0.003 max.	0.002 max.
	Cr	1.5-2.80	1.80-2.80
	Mo	0.90-1.80	1.10-1.70
	Ni	10-13	10.5-11.5
10	Co	14.0-22.0	14.0-20.0
	Al	0.1 max.	0.01 max.
	Ti	0.05 max.	0.02 max.
	Ce	0.030 max.	0.01 max.
	La	0.010 max.	0.005 max.

15

The balance of the alloy is essentially iron except for the usual impurities found in commercial grades of such steels and minor amounts of additional elements which may vary from a few thousandths of a percent up to larger amounts that do not objectionably detract from the desired combination of properties provided by this alloy.

The alloy of the present invention is critically balanced to consistently provide a superior combination of strength and fracture toughness compared to the known alloys. To that end, carbon and cobalt are balanced so that the ratio Co/C is at least about 43, preferably at least about 52, and not more than about 100, preferably not more than about 75.

In one embodiment, the alloy contains up to about 0.030% cerium and up to about 0.010% lanthanum. Effective amounts of cerium and lanthanum are present when the ratio of cerium to sulfur (Ce/S) is at least about 2 and not more than about 15. Preferably, the Ce/S ratio is not more than about 10.

In another embodiment, a small but effective amount of calcium and/or other sulfur-gettering element is present in the alloy in place of some or all of the cerium and lanthanum. For best results, at least about 10 ppm calcium or sulfur-gettering element

other than calcium is present in the alloy.

The foregoing tabulation is provided as a convenient summary and is not intended thereby to restrict the lower and upper values of the ranges of the individual elements of the alloy of this invention for use in combination with each other, or to restrict the ranges of the elements for use solely in combination with each other. Thus, one or more of the element ranges of the broad composition can be used with one or more of the other ranges for the remaining elements in the preferred composition. In addition, a minimum or maximum for an element of one preferred embodiment can be used with the maximum or minimum for that element from another preferred embodiment. Throughout this application, unless otherwise indicated, percent (%) means percent by weight.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The alloy according to the present invention contains at least about 0.21% and preferably at least about 0.22% carbon. Carbon contributes to the good strength and hardness capability of the alloy primarily by combining with other elements, such as chromium and molybdenum, to form M_2C carbides during an aging heat treatment. However, too much carbon adversely affects fracture toughness, room temperature Charpy V-notch (CVN) impact toughness, and stress corrosion cracking resistance. Accordingly, carbon is limited to not more than about 0.34% and preferably to not more than about 0.30%.

Cobalt contributes to the very high strength of this alloy and benefits the age hardening of the alloy by promoting heterogeneous nucleation sites for the M_2C carbides. In addition, we have observed that the addition of cobalt to promote strength is less detrimental to the toughness of the alloy than the

addition of carbon. Accordingly, the alloy contains at least about 14.0% cobalt. For example, at least about 14.3%, 14.4%, or 14.5% cobalt is present in the alloy. Preferably at least about 15.0% cobalt is
5 present in the alloy. However, for applications requiring a particularly high strength alloy, at least about 16.0% cobalt may be present in the alloy. Because cobalt is an expensive element, the benefit obtained from cobalt does not justify using unlimited
10 amounts of it in this alloy. Therefore, cobalt is restricted to not more than about 22.0% and preferably to not more than about 20.0%.

Carbon and cobalt are controlled in the alloy of the present invention to benefit the superior
15 combination of very high strength and high toughness. We have observed that increasing the ratio of cobalt to carbon (Co/C) promotes increased toughness and a better combination of strength and toughness in this alloy. Further, increasing the Co/C ratio benefits
20 the notch toughness of the alloy. Accordingly, cobalt and carbon are controlled in the present alloy such that the ratio Co/C is at least about 43 and preferably at least about 52. However, the benefits from a high Co/C ratio are offset by the high cost of
25 producing an alloy having a Co/C ratio that is too high. Therefore, the Co/C ratio is restricted to not more than about 100 and preferably to not more than about 75.

Chromium contributes to the good strength and
30 hardness capability of this alloy by combining with carbon to form M_2C carbides during the aging process. Therefore, at least about 1.5% and preferably at least about 1.80% chromium is present in the alloy. However, excessive chromium increases the sensitivity
35 of the alloy to overaging. In addition, too much chromium results in increased precipitation of carbide

at the grain boundaries, which adversely affects the alloy's toughness and ductility. Accordingly, chromium is limited to not more than about 2.80% and preferably to not more than about 2.60%.

5 Molybdenum, like chromium, is present in this alloy because it contributes to the good strength and hardness capability of this alloy by combining with carbon to form M_2C carbides during the aging process. Additionally, molybdenum reduces the sensitivity of
10 the alloy to overaging and benefits stress corrosion cracking resistance. Therefore, at least about 0.90% and preferably at least about 1.10% molybdenum is present in the alloy. However, too much molybdenum increases the risk of undesirable grain boundary
15 carbide precipitation, which would result in reduced toughness and ductility. Therefore, molybdenum is restricted to not more than about 1.80% and preferably to not more than about 1.70%.

At least about 10% and preferably at least about
20 10.5% nickel is present in the alloy because it benefits hardenability and reduces the alloy's sensitivity to quenching rate, such that acceptable CVN toughness is readily obtainable. Nickel also benefits the stress corrosion cracking resistance, the
25 K_{Ic} fracture toughness and Q-value (defined as $[(HRC - 35)^3 \times (CVN) \div 1000]$, where CVN is measured in ft-lbs) measured at $-54^\circ C$ ($-65^\circ F$). However, excessive nickel promotes an increased sensitivity to overaging. Therefore, nickel is restricted in the alloy to not
30 more than about 13% and preferably to not more than about 11.5%.

Other elements can be present in the alloy in amounts which do not detract from the desired properties. Not more than about 0.20% and better yet
35 not more than about 0.10% manganese is present because manganese adversely affects the fracture toughness of

the alloy. Preferably, manganese is restricted to not more than about 0.05%. Also, up to about 0.10% silicon, up to about 0.1% aluminum, and up to about 0.05% titanium can be present as residuals from small deoxidation additions. Preferably, the aluminum is restricted to not more than about 0.01% and titanium is restricted to not more than about 0.02%.

Small but effective amounts of elements that provide sulfide shape control are present in the alloy to benefit the fracture toughness by combining with sulfur to form sulfide inclusions that do not adversely affect fracture toughness. A similar effect is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,268,044, which is incorporated herein by reference. In one embodiment of the present invention, the alloy contains up to about 0.030% cerium and up to about 0.010% lanthanum. The preferred method of providing cerium and lanthanum in this alloy is through the addition of mischmetal during the melting process in an amount sufficient to recover effective amounts of cerium and lanthanum in the as-cast VAR ingot. Effective amounts of cerium and lanthanum are present when the ratio of cerium to sulfur (Ce/S) is at least about 2. When the Ce/S ratio is more than about 15, the hot workability and tensile ductility of the alloy are adversely affected. Preferably, the Ce/S ratio is not more than about 10. To ensure good hot workability, for example, when the alloy is to be press forged as opposed to rotary forged, the alloy contains not more than about 0.01% cerium and not more than about 0.005% lanthanum. In another embodiment of this alloy, a small but effective amount of calcium and/or other sulfur-gettering elements, such as magnesium or yttrium, is present in the alloy in place of some or all of the cerium and lanthanum to provide the beneficial sulfide shape control. For best results, at least about

10 ppm calcium or sulfur-gettering element other than calcium is present in the alloy. Preferably, the calcium is balanced so that the ratio Ca/S is at least about 2.

5 The balance of the alloy is essentially iron except for the usual impurities found in commercial grades of alloys intended for similar service or use. The levels of such elements must be controlled to avoid adversely affecting the desired properties. For
10 example, phosphorous is restricted to not more than about 0.008% and preferably to not more than about 0.006% because of its embrittling effect on the alloy. Sulfur, although inevitably present, is restricted to
15 not more than about 0.003%, preferably to not more than about 0.002%, and better still to not more than about 0.001% because sulfur adversely affects the fracture toughness of the alloy.

 The alloy of the present invention is readily melted using conventional vacuum melting techniques.
20 For best results, a multiple melting practice is preferred. The preferred practice is to melt a heat in a vacuum induction furnace (VIM) and cast the heat in the form of an electrode. The alloying addition for sulfide shape control referred to above is
25 preferably made before the molten VIM heat is cast. The electrode is then vacuum arc remelted (VAR) and recast into one or more ingots. Prior to VAR, the electrode ingots are preferably stress relieved at about 677°C (1250°F) for 4-16 hours and air cooled.
30 After VAR, the ingot is preferably homogenized at about 1177-1232°C (2150-2250°F) for 6-24 hours.

 The alloy can be hot worked from about 1232°C (2250°F) to about 816°C (1500°F). The preferred hot working practice is to forge an ingot from about 1177-
35 1232°C (2150-2250°F) to obtain at least about a 30% reduction in cross-sectional area. The ingot is then reheated to about 982°C (1800°F) and further forged to

- 11 -

obtain at least about another 30% reduction in cross-sectional area.

Heat treating to obtain the desired combination of properties proceeds as follows. The alloy is
5 austenitized by heating it at about 843-982°C (1550-1800°F) for about 1 hour plus about 5 minutes per inch of thickness and then quenching. The quench rate is preferably rapid enough to cool the alloy from the austenizing temperature to about 66°C (150°F) in not
10 more than about 2 hours. The preferred quenching technique will depend on the cross-section of the manufactured part. However, the hardenability of this alloy is good enough to permit air cooling, vermiculite cooling, or inert gas quenching in a
15 vacuum furnace, as well as oil quenching. After the austenitizing and quenching treatment, the alloy is preferably cold treated as by deep chilling at about -73°C (-100°F) for about 0.5-1 hour and then warmed in air.

20 Age hardening of this alloy is preferably conducted by heating the alloy at about 454-510°C (850-950°F) for about 5 hours followed by cooling in air.

The alloy of the present invention is useful in a
25 wide range of applications. The very high strength and good fracture toughness of the alloy makes it useful for ballistic tolerant applications. In addition, the alloy is suitable for other uses such as structural components for aircraft and tooling
30 components.

Examples

Twenty laboratory VIM heats were prepared and cast into VAR electrode-ingots. Prior to casting each
35 of the electrode-ingots, mischmetal or calcium was added to the respective VIM heats. The amount of each

addition was selected to result in a desired retained-amount of cerium, lanthanum, and calcium after refining. In addition, high purity electrolytic iron was used as the charge material to provide better control of the sulfur content in the VAR product.

The electrode-ingots were cooled in air, stress relieved at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours, and then cooled in air. The electrode-ingots were refined by VAR and vermiculite cooled. The VAR ingots were annealed at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours and air cooled. The compositions of the VAR ingots are set forth in weight percent in Tables 1 and 2 below. Heats 1-16 are examples of the present invention and Heats A-D are comparative alloys.

Table 1

Heat No.										
	1 ¹	2 ²	3 ³	4 ⁴	5 ⁵	6 ⁵	7 ⁶	8 ⁶	9 ⁶	10 ⁷
C	.249	.312	.311	.297	.296	.256	.258	.294	.341	.239
Mn	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
Si	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
P	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005	<.005
S	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005
Cr	2.45	2.41	2.40	2.43	2.43	1.45	1.95	2.43	2.43	2.44
Mo	1.41	1.40	1.46	1.60	1.70	1.44	1.44	1.46	1.45	1.48
X Ni	11.10	10.95	10.93	10.93	10.93	10.95	10.97	10.94	10.98	11.07
Co	15.01	16.05	17.05	15.05	15.07	15.02	15.03	15.03	15.07	15.05
Al	<.01	.004	.004	.004	.004	.003	.004	.003	.003	.004
Ti	.01	.009	.010	.010	.009	.010	.009	.009	.008	.007
Ce	.004	.002	.003	.003	.003	.003	.004	.003	.004	.004
La	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	<.001
Ca	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Ce/S ⁸	10	5	8	8	8	8	10	8	10	10
Co/C	60.3	51.4	54.8	50.7	50.9	58.7	58.2	51.1	44.2	63.0
Fe	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.

¹ Also contains <0.01 Cu, <5 ppm N, and 8 ppm O.

² Also contains <5 ppm O and 5-8 ppm N.

³ Also contains <5 ppm O and <5 ppm N.

⁴ Also contains 5-7 ppm O and <5 ppm N.

⁵ When S is reported to be <0.0005, the S content is assumed to be 0.0004 for calculation of the Ce/S ratio.

Table 2

		Heat No.									
		11 ¹	12 ¹	13 ¹	14 ¹	15 ¹	16 ¹	A ²	B ²	C	D ³
5	C	.247	.243	.240	.242	.247	.250	.236	.238	.252	.244
	Mn	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
	Si	.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
	P	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	<.005	.001	<.005	.001
	S	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	.0006	<.0005	.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	.0009
10	Cr	2.46	2.43	2.46	2.45	2.46	2.44	3.10	2.43	2.44	2.46
	Mo	1.46	1.47	1.46	1.47	1.48	1.47	1.16	1.46	1.48	1.48
	Ni	10.98	11.04	11.04	11.06	11.00	11.06	11.14	11.02	10.99	11.06
	Co	15.04	15.07	15.08	15.05	15.04	15.06	13.49	15.05	15.04	15.10
	Al	.003	.006	.005	.003	.003	.004	.004	.004	<.01	.003
15	Ti	.011	.010	.011	.010	.011	.010	.010	.010	.010	.011
	Ce	.001	.001	.002	.001	.001	.001	.004	<.001	.013	.001
	La	.001	.001	.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	.003	<.001
	Ca	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	<.0005	.0010	.0014	---	<.0005	<.0005	.0033
	Ce/S ⁴	3	3	5	1.7	3	2.0	10	<1.1 ⁵	33	1.1
20	Co/C	60.9	62.0	62.8	62.2	60.9	60.2	57.2	63.2	59.7	61.9
	Fe	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.	Bal.

¹ The values reported are the average of a measurement taken at each end of the bar.

² The Ce/S ratio from measurements taken on the VIM dip samples is <1.1. Since VAR is known to remove Ce, the product Ce/S ratio is assumed to be <1.1.

³ Also contains <5 ppm O and <5 ppm N.

⁴ When S is reported to be <0.0005, the S content is assumed to be 0.0004 for calculation of the Ce/S ratio.

30 I. Example 1

The VAR ingot of Example 1 was homogenized at 1232°C (2250°F) for 6 hours, prior to forging. The ingot was then press forged from the temperature of 1232°C (2250°F) to a 7.6 cm (3 in.) high by 12.7 cm (5 in.) wide bar. The bar was reheated to 982°C (1800°F), press forged to a 3.8 cm (1.5 in.) high by 10.2 cm (4 in.) wide bar, and then air cooled. The bar was normalized at 968°C (1775°F) for 1 hour and then cooled in air. The bar was then annealed at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours and air cooled.

Standard longitudinal and transverse tensile specimens (ASTM A 370-95a, 6.4 mm (0.252 in.) diameter by 2.54 cm (1 in.) gage length), CVN test specimens (ASTM E 23-96), and compact tension blocks for fracture toughness testing (ASTM E399) were machined from the annealed bar. The specimens were austenitized in salt for 1 hour at 913°C (1675°F). The tensile specimens and CVN test specimens were

vermiculite cooled. Because of their thicker cross-section, the compact tension blocks were air cooled to insure that they experience the same effective cooling rate as the tensile and CVN specimens. All of the specimens were deep chilled at -73°C (-100°F) for 1 hour, then warmed in air. The specimens were age hardened at 482°C (900°F) for 6 hours and then air cooled.

The results of room temperature tensile tests on the longitudinal and transverse specimens of Example 1 are shown in Table 3 including the 0.2% offset yield strength (YS), the ultimate tensile strength (UTS), as well as the percent elongation (Elong) and percent reduction in area (RA). In addition, the results of room temperature fracture toughness testing on the compact tension specimens in accordance with ASTM Standard Test E 399 (K_{Ic}) are shown in the table. The longitudinal measurements were made on duplicate samples from three separately heat treated lots. The transverse measurements, however, were made on duplicate samples from two separately heat treated lots.

Table 3

<u>Orientation</u>	<u>Heat Treat Lot</u>	<u>YS (MPa)</u>	<u>UTS (MPa)</u>	<u>Elong (%)</u>	<u>RA (%)</u>	<u>K_{Ic} (MPa\sqrt{m})</u>
Long.	1	1902	2208	14.3	64.5	---
		1928	2176	14.1	65.4	---
	2	1877	2161	14.6	62.7	77.0
		1924	2204	14.1	63.2	72.8
	3	1901	2191	14.4	65.3	74.0
		1895	2186	14.5	63.0	70.8
	Average	1904	2188	14.3	64.0	73.6
	1	1919	2195	13.9	59.4	68.7
		1906	2183	27.1 ¹	57.5	67.9
	2	1891	2180	14.2	60.5	72.7
		1906	2187	13.5	58.9	64.0
	Average	1905	2186	13.9	59.1	68.3

¹ Value not included in the average.

The data in Table 3 clearly show that Example 1 provides a combination of very high strength and good fracture toughness relative to the alloys discussed in the background section above.

5

II. Examples 2-10

For Examples 2-10, the VAR ingots were homogenized at 1232°C (2250°F) for 16 hours, prior to forging. The ingots were then press forged from the temperature of 1232°C (2250°F) to 8.9 cm (3.5 in.) high by 12.7 cm (5 in.) wide bars. The bars were reheated to 982°C (1800°F), press forged to 3.8 cm (1.5 in.) high by 11.4 cm (4.5 in.) wide bars, and then air cooled. The bars of each example were normalized at 954°C (1750°F) for 1 hour and then cooled in air. The bars were annealed at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours and then cooled in air.

Standard transverse tensile specimens, CVN specimens, and compact tensile blocks were machined, austenitized, quenched, and deep chilled similarly to Example 1. In addition, notched tensile specimens were processed similarly to the transverse tensile and CVN specimens. The samples were age hardened according to the conditions given in Table 4. The conditions in Table 4 were selected to provide a room temperature ultimate tensile strength of at least about 2034 MPa (295 ksi).

Table 4

<u>Heat No.</u>	<u>Age Hardening Treatment</u>
2	496°C (925°F) for 7 hours then air cooled
3	496°C (925°F) for 8 hours then air cooled
4	496°C (925°F) for 5 hours then air cooled
5	496°C (925°F) for 4.75 hours then air cooled
6	482°C (900°F) for 2 hours then air cooled
7	482°C (900°F) for 4.5 hours then air cooled
8	496°C (925°F) for 5 hours then air cooled
9	496°C (925°F) for 7 hours then air cooled
10	482°C (900°F) for 6 hours then air cooled

30

35

40

The notched tensile specimens were machined such that each specimen was cylindrical having a length of 7.6 cm (3.00 in.) and a diameter of 0.952 cm (0.375 in.). A 3.18 cm (1.25 in.) length section at the center of each specimen was reduced to a diameter of 0.640 cm (0.252 in.) with a 0.476 cm (0.1875 in.) minimum radius connecting the center section to each end section of the specimen. A notch was provided around the center of each notched tensile specimen.

The specimen diameter was 0.452 cm (0.178 in.) at the base of the notch; the notch root radius was 0.0025 cm (0.0010 in.) to produce a stress concentration factor (K_t) of 10.

The results of room temperature tensile tests on the transverse specimens of Examples 2-10 normalized at 954°C (1750°F) are shown in Table 5 including the 0.2% offset yield strength (YS), the ultimate tensile strength (UTS), and the notched UTS in MPa, as well as the percent elongation (Elong) and percent reduction in area (RA). The results of room temperature Charpy V-notch impact tests (CVN) and the results of room temperature fracture toughness (K_{Ic}) testing are also given in Table 5.

Table 5

Ht. No.	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	K_{Ic} (MPa \sqrt{m})	Notched UTS (MPa)
2	1804	2120	10.7	47.3	23.0	50.6	2548
	1843	2195	11.9	53.5	22.4	50.3	2366
3	1757	1974	11.8	51.7	20.3	47.5	2220
	1925	2215	11.8	52.2	18.3	45.2	2455
4	1882	2260	12.9	57.2	23.0	53.4	2593
	1872	2207	11.4	45.4	29.8	54.1	2645
5	1871	2200	12.9	57.8	22.4	54.1	2710
	1900	2240	12.6	55.6	29.8	51.6	2568
6	1922	2294	10.5	46.5	33.2	43.7	2450
	1859	2235	11.5	47.5	25.1	43.8	2559
7	1873	2158	12.2	52.1	33.2	47.1	2754
	1871	2155	12.2	50.4	32.5	49.7	2757
8	1626	1844	15.1	65.1	31.2	56.3	2806
	1891	2206	11.9	54.1	27.1	59.7	2783
9	1780	2057	8.3	62.3	24.4	44.5	2419
	1884	2240	11.4	48.9	26.4	46.8	2570
10	2060	2468	9.5	39.8	37.3	66.2	2890
	1882	2206	13.1	59.7	33.9	65.2	2854

The data in Table 5 show that Examples 2-10 provide a combination of high ultimate tensile strength and acceptable K_{Ic} fracture toughness in the transverse direction. Since properties measured in the transverse direction are expected to be worse than the same properties measured in the longitudinal direction, Examples 2-10 are also expected to provide the desired combination of properties in the longitudinal direction.

Additional testing of Examples 2, 4, 5, 9, and 10 was conducted on test specimens taken from bars processed as described above, except that a normalization temperature of 899°C (1650°F) was used. The results are given in Table 6.

Table 6

Ht. No.	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	K_{Ic} (MPa \sqrt{m})
2	1955	2213	11.1	50.9	25.8	52.1
	1941	2215	10.8	46.0	15.6	55.6
4	1944	2264	10.5	44.4	22.4	51.4
	1956	2260	10.6	47.1	19.0	50.9
5	1929	2244	11.1	50.5	25.8	54.7
	1953	2250	11.2	50.1	23.0	54.6
9	1922	2236	11.6	51.6	24.4	45.9
	1917	2240	10.8	46.5	24.4	46.5
10	1888	2200	13.2	59.0	40.0	64.6
	1885	2195	13.3	59.4	35.9	68.9

The data in Table 6 for a normalization temperature of 899°C (1650°F), when considered together with the data in Table 5 for a normalization temperature of 954°C (1750°F), show that the high strength and K_{Ic} fracture toughness of Examples 2, 4, 5, 9, and 10 can be achieved at normalization temperatures ranging from at least 899°C (1650°F) to 954°C (1750°F).

Room temperature (RT) and -54°C (-65°F) tensile tests were conducted on the specimens of Examples 2-5

and 8-10. Transverse specimens were prepared as described above using a normalization temperature of 954°C (1750°F) and the age hardening conditions given in Table 7. The conditions of Table 7 were selected to provide a room temperature ultimate tensile strength of at least about 2275 MPa (330 ksi).

Table 7

10	Heat No.	Age Hardening Treatment
	2	482°C (900°F) for 8 hours then air cooled
	3	482°C (900°F) for 10 hours then air cooled
	4	482°C (900°F) for 4 hours then air cooled
	5	482°C (900°F) for 4 hours then air cooled
15	8	482°C (900°F) for 4 hours then air cooled
	9	482°C (900°F) for 8 hours then air cooled
	10	482°C (900°F) for 6 hours then air cooled

The test results are shown in Table 8 including the 0.2% offset yield strength (YS), the ultimate tensile strength (UTS), and the notched UTS in MPa, as well as the percent elongation (Elong.) and percent reduction in area (RA). The results of room temperature and -54°C (-65°F) Charpy V-notch impact tests (CVN) are also given in Table 8. In addition, the results of room temperature and -54°C (-65°F) fracture toughness testing on the compact tension specimens in accordance with ASTM Standard Test E399 (K_{Ic}) are shown in the table.

Table 8

Ht. No.	Test Temp.	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	K _{IC} (MPa√m)	Notched UTS (MPa)
5	RT ¹	2035	2318	10.4	44.3	14.9	38.3	2667
		2037	2324	11.6	50.7	20.3	38.4	2796
		2174	2486	7.1	30	14.9	29.2	2137
		2063	2458	8.5	35.6	16.3	---	---
	RT ¹	2024	2270	10.7	50.8	23.0	41.0	2804
10	-54°C	2108	2341	10.0	46.8	19.0	41.0	2654
		2159	2417	10.4	43.8	15.6	30.1	2378
		2228	2479	9.1	40.9	13.6	29.4	2135
		2003	2334	8.0	33.5	14.2	39.3	2677
	RT ¹	2036	2345	9.6	43.2	17.6	36.0	2627
15	-54°C	2167	2521	8.2	35.4	10.2	29.4	2375
		2412	2522	7.6	32.4	9.5	30.2	2546
		2050	2358	10.6	46.3	13.6	38.1	2565
		2028	2343	9.8	42.0	14.2	---	2452
	RT ¹	2184	2508	9.4	40.7	11.5	27.6	2045
20	-54°C	2190	2525	8.6	36.3	12.9	27.6	2288
		2043	2345	10.6	46.1	16.3	43.0	2272
		2035	2354	10.6	44.6	23.7	45.2	1903
		2010	2332	10.6	44.8	21.7	37.6	2763
	RT ¹	2018	2332	9.8	42.7	20.3	38.9	3232
25	-54°C	2115	2488	8.2	35.7	13.6	28.6	2314
		2090	2486	9.2	39.8	14.9	27.9	1918
		1886	2270	12.6	54.7	30.5	---	---
		1838	2268	12.8	53.6	27.1	---	---
	RT ¹	1886	2270	12.6	54.7	30.5	---	---

¹ "RT" denotes room temperature.

The data in Table 8 show that Examples 2-5 and 8-10 provide very high ultimate tensile strength, both at room temperature and at -54°C (-65°F). Further, the K_{IC} fracture toughness values are significantly higher than would be expected from the known alloys when treated to provide the same level of ultimate tensile strength.

III. Examples 11-16 and Comparative Heats B-D

For Examples 11-16 and Comparative Heats B-D, the VAR ingots were homogenized at 1232°C (2250°F) for 16 hours. The ingots were then press forged from the temperature of 1232°C (2250°F) to 8.9 cm (3.5 in.) high by 12.7 cm (5 in.) wide bars. The bars were annealed at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours and then cooled in air. A 1.9 cm (0.75 in.) slice was removed from each end of the bars. A 30.5 cm (12 in.) long section was then removed from the bottom end of each bar. The 30.5 cm (12 in.) sections were heated to 1010°C (1850°F) and then forged to 3.8 cm (1.5 in.) by

10.8 cm (4.25 in.) by 91.4 cm (36 in.) bars and then
air cooled. The bars were normalized at 899°C
(1650°F) for 1 hour and air cooled. The bars were
then annealed at 677°C (1250°F) for 16 hours and air
5 cooled.

Standard longitudinal and transverse tensile
specimens, CVN test specimens, and compact tension
blocks were machined from the annealed bars. The
specimens were austenitized in salt for 1 hour at
10 899°C (1650°F). The tensile specimens and CVN test
specimens were vermiculite cooled, whereas the compact
tension blocks were air cooled. All of the specimens
were deep chilled at -73°C (-100°F) for 1 hour, warmed
in air, age hardened at 482°C (900°F) for 5 hours, and
15 then cooled in air.

The results of room temperature tensile tests on
the longitudinal (Long.) and transverse (Trans.)
specimens are shown in Table 9, including the 0.2%
offset yield strength (YS) and the ultimate tensile
20 strength (UTS) in MPa, as well as the percent
elongation (Elong) and percent reduction in area (RA).
The results of room temperature Charpy V-notch impact
tests (CVN) and the results of room temperature
fracture toughness testing on the compact tension
25 specimens in accordance with ASTM Standard Test E399
(K_{Ic}) are shown in Table 9.

Table 9

Ht. No.	Orientation	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Rlong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	K _{IC} (MPa√m)
11	Trans.	1928	2194	11.2	48.0	32.5	63.1
		1903	2153	12.5	55.5	27.1	56.7
		1875	2124	12.2	55.1	28.5	64.0
		1915	2120	12.6	57.9	33.9	68.3
		1904	2148	11.6	52.1	41.4	73.8
	Long.	1914	2150	12.3	56.3	35.2	70.9
		1911	2145	11.9	54.8	36.6	63.3
		1934	2152	11.5	54.3	33.2	64.1
		1935	2151	12.4	58.8	33.9	59.2
		1906	2195	13.7	61.2	32.5	75.6
12	Trans.	1928	2178	13.9	62.2	35.2	70.2
		1918	2188	13.8	62.2	36.6	65.6
		1898	2157	11.9	52.0	33.9	63.7
		1890	2135	12.4	51.5	38.0	64.1
		1882	2132	13.1	55.1	38.0	59.7
	Long.	1926	2188	13.9	60.5	32.5	65.5
		1914	2183	14.7	63.3	35.9	75.9
		1897	2155	14.1	63.0	36.6	73.6
		1913	2146	11.3	50.9	27.1	59.4
		1918	2164	11.7	51.3	32.5	59.9
13	Trans.	1904	2153	11.8	52.1	36.6	54.2
		---	2153	14.3	64.4	33.9	71.0
		1911	2176	10.7	62.2	35.9	61.0
		1939	2190	13.6	61.9	36.6	63.6
		1926	2171	12.0	54.5	29.8	59.9
	Long.	1933	2189	12.4	55.5	31.2	59.9
		1920	2177	12.2	55.0	35.2	63.6
		1915	2157	14.3	64.0	34.6	72.7
		1911	2173	14.1	65.0	35.2	69.8
		1924	2171	14.8	65.0	36.6	65.7
14	Trans.	1947	2200	11.9	56.3	33.9	65.6
		1935	2194	13.6	59.3	33.9	54.6
		1942	2179	13.3	58.2	36.6	65.6
		1951	2190	14.7	63.7	37.3	68.1
		1937	2182	14.6	63.5	40.7	71.0
	Long.	1918	2190	14.4	64.4	41.4	68.9
		1900	2120	12.6	57.9	38.0	54.8
		1896	2148	11.6	52.1	51.5	57.1
		1911	2150	12.3	56.3	30.5	57.4
		1931	2170	12.1	60.0	34.6	63.6
15	Trans.	1902	2192	14.4	60.4	38.0	57.6
		1945	2199	13.7	60.4	35.2	62.0
		1884	2130	1.8	8.7	13.6	60.9
		1873	2113	3.2	11.9	16.3	61.0
		1888	2136	7.2	27.2	16.3	56.6
	Long.	1876	2141	12.9	53.2	20.3	72.7
		1875	2127	13.4	57.8	29.8	70.9
		1912	2173	12.3	51.1	30.5	68.4
		1931	2171	12.2	54.4	29.8	---
		1930	2185	12.1	52.7	31.2	51.3
16	Trans.	1924	2182	12.4	50.3	33.9	53.2
		1916	2193	14.0	60.3	29.8	54.3
		1919	2187	13.8	59.7	36.6	55.0
		1913	2174	14.3	62.9	54.2	53.0
		1924	2182	12.4	50.3	33.9	53.2
	Long.	1916	2193	14.0	60.3	29.8	54.3
		1919	2187	13.8	59.7	36.6	55.0
		1913	2174	14.3	62.9	54.2	53.0
		1924	2182	12.4	50.3	33.9	53.2
		1916	2193	14.0	60.3	29.8	54.3

The data in Table 9 show that Examples 11-16 provide the desired combination of properties in accordance with the present invention. The longitudinal specimens of Examples 11-16 all exhibit an average UTS of at least 2137 MPa (310 ksi) and an average K_{IC} fracture toughness of at least 65.2 MPa√m (59.3 ksi√in.). In contrast, Comparative Heats B and D exhibit low K_{IC} at similar UTS values. In addition, although Comparative Heat C appears to have acceptable

longitudinal properties, its %Elong, %RA, and CVN values in the transverse direction are so low as to render it unsuitable.

5 IV. Comparison of Example 10 and Comparative Heat A

A comparison of Example 10 and Comparative Heat A was undertaken. The VAR ingots of Example 10 and Comparative Heat A were processed in the same manner as described above for Example 1.

10 Standard transverse tensile specimens (ASTM A 370-95a, 0.64 cm (0.252 in.) diameter by 2.54 cm (1 in.) gage length), CVN test specimens (ASTM E 23-96), and compact tension blocks were machined from the annealed bars. The specimens of each alloy were
15 divided into fifteen groups. Each group was austenitized in salt for 1 hour at the austenizing temperature indicated in Table 10. The tensile specimens and CVN test specimens of all the groups were vermiculite cooled, whereas the compact tension
20 blocks were air cooled. All of the specimens were deep chilled at -73°C (-100°F) for 1 hour, and then warmed in air. Each group was then age hardened at 482°C (900°F) for the period of time indicated in Table 10 under the column labeled "Aging Time".
25 Following age hardening, each specimen was cooled in air.

The results of the room temperature tensile tests on the transverse specimens are also shown in Table 10, including the 0.2% offset yield strength
30 (YS) and the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) in MPa, as well as the percent elongation (Elong) and percent reduction in area (RA). The results of room temperature Charpy V-notch impact tests (CVN) and Rockwell Hardness C measurements (HRC) are also given
35 in Table 10.

Table 10

Aging Time (h)			Austenizing Temp. (°C/°F)	Example 10					Comparative Heat A						
				YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	HRC ¹	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	CVN (J)	HRC ¹
5	1	2	885/1625	1846 1882	2251 2264	11.6 11.4	47.9 46.5	27.1 33.7	57.0(0.0) 57.0(0.0)	1758 1762	2135 2133	13.1 13.2	52.9 54.5	42.0 33.9	55.3(0.3) 53.3(0.3)
	2	2	899/1650	1862 1848	2263 2262	12.9 11.5	53.8 47.0	30.5 27.8	57.0(0.0) 57.5(0.0)	1758 1738	2146 2147	13.3 13.3	53.8 55.8	36.6 40.7	55.0(0.0) 55.5(0.0)
	3	2	913/1675	1886 1838	2270 2268	12.6 12.8	54.7 53.6	29.8 29.8	57.0(0.0) 57.0(0.0)	1765 1771	2144 2151	13.8 14.6	56.3 54.0	42.0 39.3	55.0(0.0) 55.3(0.3)
	4	4	885/1625	1891 1878	2239 2236	11.2 11.5	45.4 48.6	28.5 31.2	56.2(0.3) 56.3(0.3)	1792 1759	2081 2061	13.3 13.7	57.7 60.1	31.9 47.4	54.8(0.3) 54.2(0.3)
	5	4	899/1650	1882 1872	2226 2236	11.7 10.9	47.7 44.2	23.7 28.5	56.0(0.0) 56.5(0.0)	1754 1748	2088 2086	13.6 13.6	58.3 58.5	42.0 38.6	54.2(0.3) 53.8(0.3)
10	6	4	913/1675	1860 1866	2237 2240	10.9 13.0	47.0 52.4	29.1 29.1	56.5(0.5) 56.8(0.3)	1803 1771	2088 2078	13.3 13.8	58.7 61.3	38.6 35.9	55.2(0.3) 55.0(0.0)
	7	6	885/1625	1849 1856	2165 2165	12.0 11.5	50.9 49.2	28.5 31.2	55.7(0.3) 56.0(0.0)	1768 1766	2007 1993	13.6 13.7	60.1 59.1	38.6 43.4	49.0(0.0) 53.0(0.0)
	8	6	899/1650	1833 1852	2194 2185	12.4 12.1	53.7 52.3	32.5 32.5	56.0(0.0) 56.0(0.0)	1770 1773	2008 2017	14.1 13.9	61.2 60.4	43.4 40.7	54.0(0.0) 52.7(0.3)
	9	6	913/1675	1851 1838	2188 2172	13.2 13.4	56.4 55.7	30.5 27.1	56.0(0.0) 55.5(0.5)	1774 1771	2024 2022	13.8 13.4	59.0 57.7	44.7 43.4	53.2(0.3) 53.2(0.3)
	10	8	885/1625	1855 1839	2143 2136	11.2 12.4	46.9 54.6	29.8 31.2	55.0(0.0) 55.5(0.0)	1741 1735	1946 1931	13.6 13.1	58.4 57.7	42.0 44.7	52.7(0.3) 51.0(0.5)
15	11	8	899/1650	1851 1855	2142 2149	13.1 12.4	56.1 52.9	29.1 33.9	55.5(0.0) 55.7(0.8)	1700 1706	1895 1911	14.5 14.0	61.0 61.0	44.7 31.1	52.8(0.3) 53.2(0.3)
	12	8	913/1675	1875 1862	2153 2155	12.7 12.4	56.5 54.6	29.1 32.5	55.5(0.0) 55.5(0.0)	1707 1733	1939 1975	14.1 14.0	62.2 63.3	43.4 50.2	52.7(0.3) 52.8(0.3)
	13	10	885/1625	1856 1851	2135 2130	12.4 12.2	53.7 52.8	33.2 23.0	55.3(0.3) 55.0(0.0)	1705 1715	1900 1887	13.9 14.0	61.5 60.4	46.1 44.7	51.3(0.8) 50.0(0.5)
	14	10	899/1650	1839 1869	2134 2162	13.3 11.9	57.3 50.0	31.9 22.4	55.2(0.3) 55.0(0.0)	1715 1681	1905 1879	13.5 14.2	59.3 64.6	44.7 42.0	52.5(0.0) 52.0(0.0)
	15	10	913/1675	1850 1860	2127 2151	12.3 13.0	52.9 58.4	34.6 33.2	55.0(0.0) 55.0(0.0)	1697 1685	1891 1867	14.8 14.6	63.5 65.8	48.8 48.8	50.0(0.0) 48.2(0.3)

¹ The values reported for HRC are the average of three measurements. The standard deviation is given in parentheses.

¹ The values reported for HRC are the average of three measurements. The standard deviation is given in parentheses.

The data of Table 10 clearly show that, over a wide range of austenizing temperatures and aging times, Example 10 of the present invention provides a higher ultimate tensile strength relative to

5 Comparative Heat A.

Tensile and compact tension block specimens of Group 9 were tested to compare the ultimate tensile strength and K_{Ic} fracture toughness. The results are shown in Table 11.

10

Table 11

Ht. No.	YS (MPa)	UTS (MPa)	Elong (%)	RA (%)	K_{Ic} (MPa \sqrt{m})
10	1888	2200	13.2	59.0	64.6
	1885	2195	13.3	59.4	68.9
A	1744	2023	13.9	59.5	108
	1787	2028	14.4	61.6	112

15

The data in Table 11 show that the ultimate tensile strength of Example 10 is significantly higher than that of Heat A. Although Heat A appears to have a higher K_{Ic} fracture toughness than Example 10, if Heat A was treated to increase its UTS to the same level as Example 10, the resulting K_{Ic} fracture toughness of Heat A would be expected to be significantly less than that measured for Example 10. Accordingly, Example 10 provides a superior combination of strength and K_{Ic} fracture toughness than Heat A.

25

It will be recognized by those skilled in the art that changes or modifications may be made to the above-described embodiments without departing from the broad inventive concepts of the invention. It should therefore be understood that this invention is not limited to the particular embodiments described herein, but is intended to include all changes and

30

35

modifications that are within the scope and spirit of
the invention as set forth in the claims.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

What is claimed is:

1. An age hardenable martensitic steel alloy having a superior combination of strength and toughness consisting essentially of, in weight percent, about

	C	0.21-0.34
	Mn	0.20 max.
10	Si	0.10 max.
	P	0.008 max.
	S	0.003 max.
	Cr	1.5-2.80
	Mo	0.90-1.80
	Ni	10-13
15	Co	14.0-22.0
	Al	0.1 max.
	Ti	0.05 max.
	Ce	0.030 max.
20	La	0.010 max.

the balance essentially iron, wherein the ratio Ce/S is at least about 2 to not more than about 15.

2. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 wherein the ratio Ce/S is not more than about 10.

3. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 wherein the ratio Co/C is at least about 43 to not more than about 100.

4. The alloy as recited in Claim 3 wherein the ratio Co/C is at least about 52.

5. The alloy as recited in Claim 3 wherein the ratio Co/C is not more than about 75.

6. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 0.30 weight percent carbon.

7. The alloy as recited in Claim 6 which contains at least about 0.22 weight percent carbon.

5 8. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 20.0 weight percent cobalt.

9. The alloy as recited in Claim 8 which contains at least about 15.0 weight percent cobalt.
10

10. The alloy as recited in Claim 9 which contains at least about 16.0 weight percent cobalt.

11. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains at least about 1.80 weight percent chromium.
15

12. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 2.60 weight percent chromium.
20

13. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains at least about 1.10 weight percent molybdenum.

25 14. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 1.70 weight percent molybdenum.

15. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains at least about 10.5 weight percent nickel.
30

16. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 11.5 weight percent nickel.
35

17. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 0.01 weight percent cerium.

5 18. The alloy as recited in Claim 1 which contains not more than about 0.005 weight percent lanthanum.

19. An age hardenable martensitic steel alloy
10 having a superior combination of strength and toughness consisting essentially of, in weight percent, about

	C	0.21-0.34
15	Mn	0.20 max.
	Si	0.10 max.
	P	0.008 max.
	S	0.003 max.
	Cr	1.5-2.80
20	Mo	0.90-1.80
	Ni	10-13
	Co	14.0-22.0
	Al	0.1 max.
	Ti	0.05 max.
25	Ce	0.01 max.
	La	0.005 max.
	Ca	10 ppm min.

the balance essentially iron, wherein the ratio Ca/S
30 is at least about 2.

20. An age hardenable martensitic steel alloy
having a superior combination of strength and
toughness consisting essentially of, in weight
35 percent, about

	C	0.22-0.30
	Mn	0.05 max.
40	Si	0.10 max.
	P	0.006 max.
	S	0.002 max.
	Cr	1.80-2.80
	Mo	1.10-1.70

- 29 -

	Ni	10.5-11.5
	Co	14.0-20.0
	Al	0.01 max.
	Ti	0.02 max.
5	Ce	0.01 max.
	La	0.005 max.

the balance essentially iron, wherein the ratio Ce/S
is at least about 2 to not more than about 15.

10

21. The alloy as recited in Claim 20 wherein the
ratio Ce/S is not more than about 10.

22. The alloy as recited in Claim 20 wherein the
15 ratio Co/C is at least about 43 to not more than about
100.

23. The alloy as recited in Claim 22 wherein the
ratio Co/C is at least about 52.

20

24. The alloy as recited in Claim 22 wherein the
ratio Co/C is not more than about 75.

25

30

35

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat. Application No
PCT/US 97/15448

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 C22C38/10 C21D9/42

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 C22C C21D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GARRISON, W. M. AND RHOADS, M. A.: "An evaluation of an ultra-high strength steel strengthened by alloy carbide and intermetallic precipitates" TRANS. INDIAN INST. MET., vol. 49, no. 3, June 1996, INDIA, pages 151-162, XP002050456 see table 1	1,3-6,8, 11,12, 14,16
X	WO 91 12352 A (CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORP) 22 August 1991 see pages 4 to 9 and claims	1-24
X	EP 0 390 468 A (CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORP) 3 October 1990 see pages 1 to 5 and claims	1-24
	--- -/-- ---	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 December 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

16.01.98

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Badcock, G

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 97/15448

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	HANDERHAN, K. J. ET AL.: "A comparison of the fracture behaviour of two heats of the secondary hardening steel AF1410" METALL. TRANS. A, PHYS. METALL. MATER. SCI. , vol. 20a, no. 1, January 1989, USA, pages 105-123, XP002050457 ---	1-24
A	US 4 076 525 A (LITTLE CLAYTON D ET AL) 28 February 1978 ---	1-24
A	GRUJICIC, M.: "Thermodynamics aided design of high Co-Ni secondary hardening steels" CALPHAD, COMPUT. COUPLING PHASE DIAGR. THERMOCHEM., vol. 14, no. 1, January 1990, UK, pages 49-59, XP002050458 -----	1-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intern: al Application No

PCT/US 97/15448

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9112352 A	22-08-91	US 5087415 A	11-02-92
		CA 2013081 A,C	27-09-90
		CA 2073460 A	07-08-91
		DE 69019578 D	29-06-95
		DE 69019578 T	08-02-96
		EP 0390468 A	03-10-90
		EP 0514480 A	25-11-92
		IL 93876 A	26-08-94
		IL 97154 A	31-01-96
		JP 3243747 A	30-10-91
		JP 6089436 B	09-11-94
		JP 5502477 T	28-04-93
		US 5268044 A	07-12-93

EP 0390468 A	03-10-90	US 5087415 A	11-02-92
		CA 2013081 A,C	27-09-90
		DE 69019578 D	29-06-95
		DE 69019578 T	08-02-96
		IL 93876 A	26-08-94
		CA 2073460 A	07-08-91
		EP 0514480 A	25-11-92
		IL 97154 A	31-01-96
		JP 3243747 A	30-10-91
		JP 6089436 B	09-11-94
		JP 5502477 T	28-04-93
		WO 9112352 A	22-08-91
		US 5268044 A	07-12-93

US 4076525 A	28-02-78	NONE	
